

10/30/23

## Lecture 15: Linearized networks

NTK analysis :

in fact we'll prove a generic result that doesn't even need the assumption that the student network is a one-hidden-layer MLP.

Consider a dataset  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$  and a student network  $f_\Theta: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^P$ , initialized to some  $\Theta_0$ .

We'll use shorthand  $f_\Theta(x) - y$  to denote

$$(f_\Theta(x_1) - y_1, \dots, f_\Theta(x_n) - y_n).$$

Define: scaling param:  $\alpha > 0$

empirical loss:  $\hat{L}(g) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \| g(x) - y \|_2^2$

$$\hat{L}_0 \triangleq \hat{L}(\gamma f_{\Theta_0})$$

gradient flow :

$$d\Theta_t \triangleq -\nabla_\Theta \hat{L}(\gamma f_{\Theta_t}) dt$$

$$= -\gamma J_t^T \nabla \hat{L}(\gamma f_{\Theta_t}) dt, \text{ where}$$

Jacobian:  $J_+ \triangleq J_{\Theta_+} \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla_\Theta f_{\Theta_+}(x_1) - \\ \vdots \\ -\nabla_\Theta f_{\Theta_+}(x_n) - \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$

will compare to linearized network / dynamics:

$$f_G^{\text{lin}}(x) = f_{\theta_0}(x) + J_0 \cdot (\theta - \theta_0)$$

$$d\tilde{\theta}_+ \triangleq -\nabla_{\theta} \hat{L}(\gamma f_{\tilde{\theta}_+}^{\text{lin}})$$

$$= -\gamma \boxed{J_0^T} \nabla \hat{L}(\gamma f_{\tilde{\theta}_+}^{\text{lin}})$$

Jacobian  
does not  
change for  
linearized  
network

will assume that

1).  $J_\theta$  is Lipschitz in  $\theta$ , i.e.

$$\|J_\theta - J_{\theta'}\|_{\text{op}} \leq \beta \|\theta - \theta'\|_2$$

2)  $J_0 = J_{\theta_0}$  is full rank (bounds will depend on  $\sigma_{\min}, \sigma_{\max}$  of  $J_0$ )

Linearized dynamics very easy to analyze :

Lemma 1: If  $Q(t) \succcurlyeq \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_n \quad \forall t$ , then for  $(g_t)$  given by

$$dg_t = -Q(t) \nabla \hat{L}(g_t) dt,$$

we have

$$\hat{L}(g_t) \leq \hat{L}(g_0) \cdot \exp(-2\lambda t).$$

$$\text{PF: } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{L}(g_+) = \langle -Q(t)(g_+(x) - y), g_+(x) - y \rangle$$

$$\leq -\lambda \|g_+(x) - y\|_2^2 \\ = -2\lambda \cdot \hat{L}(g_+),$$

So integrating this ( i.e. using Grönwall's inequality)  
completes the proof.  $\square$

Can apply this to  $Q(t) = J_+ J_+^T$  and  $g_+ = \gamma f_{\tilde{\theta}_+}^{\text{lin}}$ .

Then b/c

$$d\tilde{\theta}_+ = -\gamma J_0^T \nabla_{\theta} \hat{L}(\gamma f_{\tilde{\theta}_+}^{\text{lin}}) dt,$$

by chain rule,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma f_{\tilde{\theta}_+}^{\text{lin}}) = \underbrace{\gamma \nabla_{\theta} f_{\theta}^{\text{lin}} \Big|_{\theta=\tilde{\theta}_+}}_{J_0} \cdot \frac{d\tilde{\theta}_+}{dt}$$

$$= -\gamma^2 \underbrace{J_0 J_0^T}_{Q(t) \succeq \sigma_{\min}^2(J_0) \cdot I_d} \nabla_{\theta} \hat{L}(\gamma f_{\tilde{\theta}_+}^{\text{lin}})$$

so by Lemma,  $\hat{L}(\gamma f_{\tilde{\theta}_+}^{\text{lin}}) \leq \exp(-2\gamma^2 t \sigma_{\min}^2(J_0))$ ,

So (unsurprisingly), training loss for linearized network drops exponentially quickly.

Can also show that, relative to drop in loss, movement of parameters is negligible:

Lemma 2: Suppose process  $(\hat{\theta}_t)$  satisfies

$$d\hat{\theta}_t = -S(t)^T \nabla \hat{L}(g_{\hat{\theta}_t})$$

for some network  $g$ , and  $\underline{\lambda} \cdot \text{Id} \leq S(t)S(t)^T \leq \bar{\lambda} \cdot \text{Id}$   $\forall t$ . Then

$$\|\hat{\theta}_t - \hat{\theta}_0\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}}}{\underline{\lambda}} \|g_{\hat{\theta}_0}(x) - y\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\theta}_t - \hat{\theta}_0\| &= \left\| \int_0^t (-S(s)^T \nabla \hat{L}(g_{\hat{\theta}_s})) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \underbrace{\|S(s)\|_{\text{op}}}_{\leq \bar{\lambda}} \cdot \underbrace{\|\nabla \hat{L}(g_{\hat{\theta}_s})\|}_{\|g_{\hat{\theta}_s}(x) - y\|} ds \\ &\leq \sqrt{\bar{\lambda}} \cdot \int_0^t \underbrace{\|g_{\hat{\theta}_s}(x) - y\|}_{\text{by prev. lemma}} ds \\ &\leq \exp(-\lambda s) \cdot \|g_{\hat{\theta}_0}(x) - y\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\bar{\lambda}} \cdot \|g_{\hat{\theta}_0}(x) - y\| \cdot \underbrace{\int_0^s \exp(-\lambda s) ds}_{1/\lambda}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to  $\hat{\theta}_t = \tilde{\theta}_t$ ,  $g = f_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$ ,  $S(t) = \gamma J_0$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \|\tilde{\theta}_t - \theta_0\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{\max}^2(J_0)}}{\sigma_{\min}^2(J_0)} \cdot \|f_{\theta_0}(x) - y\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{2\gamma^2 \sigma_{\max}^2(J_0)}}{\gamma^2 \sigma_{\min}^2(J_0)} \cdot \sqrt{L_0}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma_{\max}(J_0)}{\gamma \sigma_{\min}^2(J_0)} \cdot \sqrt{L_0}$$

Remains to show can apply Lemmas 1+2 to  $(\theta_t)$ . Complication is that  $J_t$  is changing over time. We will show it does not change that much, provided  $\gamma$  sufficiently large and  $\theta_t$  remains close to initialization.

Lemma 3: If  $\|\theta - \theta_0\| \leq \frac{\sigma_{\min}(J_0)}{2\beta} \triangleq B$ , then

$$\frac{\sigma_{\min}(J_0)}{2} \cdot \lambda \leq J_\theta \leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sigma_{\max}(J_0)}{2} \cdot \lambda$$

P.F. :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_\theta\|_{op} &\leq \|J_0\|_{op} + \|J_\theta - J_0\|_{op} \\
&\leq \sigma_{\max}(J_0) + \underbrace{\beta \|\theta - \theta_0\|}_{\leq \frac{\sigma_{\min}(J_0)}{2}} \leq \frac{\sigma_{\max}(J_0)}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{3\sigma_{\max}(J_0)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

for lower bound, for any  $\|v\|=1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_\theta v\| &\geq \|J_0 v\| - \|(J_\theta - J_0)v\| \\
&\geq \|J_0 v\| - \beta \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq \frac{\sigma_{\min}(J_0)}{2}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

So we can safely apply Lemma 1+2 to get

$$\hat{L}(\gamma f_{\theta_t}) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 \sigma_{\min}^2(J_0) t\right)$$

$$\|\theta_t - \theta_0\| \leq \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\gamma \sigma_{\min}^2} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{L}_0} \quad (\dagger)$$

as long as  $\|\theta_s - \theta_0\| \leq \beta \quad \forall s \in [0, t]$

note that bound in  $(\dagger) \ll \beta$  as long as

$$\gamma \gg \frac{\beta \sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}^3} \sqrt{\hat{L}_0}.$$

We conclude

Thm : Linearized network  $f_{\hat{\theta}_t}^{\text{lin}}$  and

true network  $f_{\theta_t}$  stay  $\frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\min}}} \sqrt{\sum_i \sigma_i}$  -close

for all  $t \geq 0$ , and training loss for  $f_{\theta_t}$  drops exponentially quickly.

Example : Consider  $\mathcal{Y}f_{\theta} = \mathcal{Y}\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \sigma(\langle w_i, x \rangle)$ . For

Simplicity, suppose  $a_i$ 's are random  $\{\pm 1\}$ 's that are not subsequently trained, so  $\Theta = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^N$ .

$J_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^T \cdot \{a_i \sigma'(\langle w_i, x \rangle)\}_i \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \cdot \{a_i \sigma'(\langle w_i, x \rangle)\}_i \end{pmatrix}$

so  $\|J_{\theta} - J_{\theta'}\|_{\text{op}}^2$

$$\leq \sum_{i,j} \|x_i\|_2^2 \cdot (\underbrace{\sigma'(\langle w_j, x \rangle) - \sigma'(\langle w'_j, x \rangle)}_{\leq \|w_j - w'_j\|^2})^2$$

$$= \|X\|_F^4 \cdot \|\theta - \theta'\|_2^2$$

so can take  $\beta \approx \|X\|_F^2 \approx \underline{nd}$  (e.g. if  $X = S^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{\lambda} I$ )

$$\hat{L}_0$$

: Can initialize in such a way that  $f_{\theta_0}(x)$  is dominated by  $y$ . So

$$\hat{L}_0 \approx \|y\|^2 \approx n$$

$$[\sigma_{\min}(J_0), \sigma_{\max}(J_0)]$$

: entries of  $J_0$  are  $O(1)$ ,

so because  $Nd \gg n$ , singular values are of order  $\sqrt{Nd}$

Putting everything together,  $\gamma > \frac{\beta \sigma_{\min}(J_0)}{\sigma_{\max}^2(J_0)} \sqrt{\hat{L}_0}$

yields

$$\gamma > \frac{nd \cdot \sqrt{Nd}}{(\sqrt{Nd})^3} \cdot \sqrt{n}$$

$$= \frac{n^{3/2}}{N},$$

so provided we are in this regime, gradient flow well-approx'd by linearized dynamics.