

10/18/23

## Lecture 14: Filtered PCA

Filtered PCA (warmup):

$$f(x) = W_L \text{ReLU}(W_{L-1} \dots \text{ReLU}(W_2 \text{ReLU}(W_1 x)) \dots)$$

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be rows of  $W_1$ .

Warmup goal: find vector in  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k) := V$ .

(Let  $\Pi_V: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$  denote orthogonal projector to  $V$ .)

Attempt 1.

$$\oplus \left[ y \cdot \underbrace{(xx^T - \text{Id})}_{S_2(x)} \right] = 2 \sum_i \lambda_i v_i v_i^T,$$

so if this were nonzero, then top- $k$  singular subspace would be  $V$

What to do when  $\oplus [y \cdot (xx^T - \text{Id})] = 0$ ?

Attempt 2:

Consider

$$\oplus [h(y) \cdot (xx^T - \text{Id})] := M_h$$

for "filter" function  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Claim: If  $w \perp V$ , then  $w^T M_h w = 0$

Pf: Suppose WLOG  $\|w\|_2 = 1$

$$w^T \left( \oplus [h(y) (xx^T - Id)] \right) w$$

$$= \oplus [h(y) (\langle w, x \rangle^2 - 1)]$$

$y$  depends on  $x$  only through  $\Pi_V x$ , whereas  $\langle w, x \rangle^2$  depends on  $x$  only through projection to  $w^\perp V$ , so  $h(y)$  and  $\langle w, x \rangle^2$  are independent!

$$= \oplus [h(y)] \cdot \underbrace{\oplus [\langle w, x \rangle^2 - 1]}$$

$$\stackrel{||}{=} \oplus_{g \sim N(0,1)} (g^2 - 1) = 0$$

$$= 0.$$

□

Cor: If  $M_h \neq 0$ , then top singular vector lies in  $V$ .

Pf: Top singular vec is orthogonal to  $\ker(M_h)$  because  $M_h \neq 0$ . Also  $V^\perp \subseteq \ker(M_h)$ . So top singular vec orthogonal to  $V^\perp$  and thus lies in  $V$ . □

So suffices to find  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  
 $M_h \neq 0!$

Sufficient condition:  $\text{Tr}(M_h) \neq 0$

$$\text{Tr}(M_h) = \mathbb{E} [h(y) \cdot (\|x\|^2 - d)]$$

Take  $h(y) = y^2$

$$= \mathbb{E} [y^2 \cdot (\|x\|^2 - d)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} [f(x)^2 \cdot (\|x\|^2 - d)]$$

$x \sim N(0, \text{Id})$  can be "factorized" into  
 $z \sim \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $r \sim$  [norm of Gaussian vector]  
 (note,  $x$  and  $z$  independent)

b/c  $f(r \cdot z) = r \cdot f(z)$

by homogeneity  
of ReLU  
networks

$$= \mathbb{E}_r \left[ (r^2 - d) \cdot \mathbb{E}_z [f(r \cdot z)^2] \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_r \left[ r^2 (r^2 - d) \mathbb{E}_z [f(z)^2] \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_r [r^2 (r^2 - d)] \cdot \mathbb{E}_z [f(z)^2]$$

$> 0$  because  
 $f \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E}[r^4] - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[r^2]}_{d^2} \cdot d \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Id)}[(g_1^2 + \dots + g_d^2)^2] - d^2 \\
&= \sum_i \mathbb{E}[g_i^4] + \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}[g_i^2 g_j^2] - d^2 \\
&= 3d + d(d-1) - d^2 = 2d
\end{aligned}$$


---

Attempt 2 works for warmup goal, but unclear how to extend it to learn remaining directions in  $V$ .

Attempt 3: instead of  $h(y) = y^2$ , take

$$h(y) = \mathbb{1}(|y| > \tau)$$

for threshold  $\tau > 0$ .

In lecture slides, showed that it suffices to prove  $\Pr[|f(x)| > \tau]$  not too small (anti-concentration).

Lemma: For  $f: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuous, piecewise-linear function which is  $L$ -Lipschitz and satisfies  $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Id)}[f(x)^2] \geq \sigma^2$ ,

$$\Pr[|f| > s] \geq \Omega\left(\exp(-3ks^2/\sigma^2)\right) \cdot \frac{5\sigma}{\sqrt{k}L^2}.$$

(polyhedral cone)

PF: Let  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  be a linear piece of  $f$ ,

Suppose  $f(x) = \langle u_i, x \rangle \quad \forall x \in S_i$ . Can  
assume wlog  $\|u_i\| \leq 1$  (see Lemma 4.5 in

[Chen-Klivans-Meka '20]). Define

$$\sigma_i^2 = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim N(0, Id)} \left[ \langle u_i, x \rangle^2 \mid x \in S_i \right]$$

Note if linear piece chosen w/ prob  $\Pr[x \in S_i]$ ,  
then

$$\mathbb{E} [ f(x)^2 ] = \mathbb{E}_i [ \sigma_i^2 ] \geq \sigma^2$$

Because  $S_i$  is polyhedral cone,

Chi-squared dist.  
w/  $k$  degrees of freedom  
↓

sampling  $x \sim N(0, Id) \mid x \in S_i \iff$  - sampling  $r \sim \chi_k^2$   
- sampling  $v \sim \mathcal{S}^{k-1} \mid v \in S_i$ ,  
- outputting  $\sqrt{r} \cdot v$

$$\text{so } \sigma_i^2 = \mathbb{E}_{r, v} \left[ r \langle u_i, v \rangle^2 \mid v \in S_i \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_r [r] \cdot \mathbb{E}_v \left[ \langle u_i, v \rangle^2 \mid v \in S_i \right]$$

$$= k \cdot \mathbb{E}_v \left[ \langle u_i, v \rangle^2 \mid v \in S_i \right],$$

$$\text{so } \mathbb{E}_v \left[ \langle u_i, v \rangle^2 \mid v \in S_i \right] = \frac{\sigma_i^2}{k}$$

Claim: If random variable  $Z$  satisfies

1)  $|Z| \leq M$  almost surely

2)  $\mathbb{E}[Z^2] \geq \sigma^2$ ,

$$\Pr(|Z| > t) \geq \frac{1}{M^2} (\sigma^2 - t^2)$$

Pf:  $\sigma^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[Z^2] = \mathbb{E}[Z^2 | |Z| \geq t] \cdot \Pr(|Z| \geq t)$

$$+ \mathbb{E}[Z^2 | |Z| < t] \cdot \Pr(|Z| < t)$$

$$\leq M^2 \cdot \Pr(|Z| \geq t) + t^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr(|Z| \geq t) \geq \frac{\sigma^2 - t^2}{M^2} \text{ as claimed. } \square$$

So  $\Pr(\langle K_{u_i}, x \rangle \geq s \mid v \in S_i) \quad (\star)$

$$\geq \Pr\left(r \geq \frac{2k s^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right) \cdot \Pr\left(\langle K_{u_i}, v \rangle \geq \frac{g_i}{\sqrt{2k}} \mid v \in S_i\right)$$

$$\geq \Pr\left(r \geq \frac{2k s^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{g_i^2}{k} - \frac{g_i^2}{2k}\right)$$

$$\geq \Pr_{g \sim N(0,1)}\left[g \geq \frac{\sqrt{2k} s}{\sigma_i}\right] \cdot \frac{g_i^2}{2k}$$

$$= \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2k} s}{\sigma_i}\right) \cdot \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2k}$$

can check this is convex

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [ |f(x)| \geq s ] &= \mathbb{E} [ |f| ] \\ &\stackrel{\text{(Jensen's)}}{\geq} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2k} s}{\mathbb{E}[\sigma_i]} \right) \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}[\sigma_i^2]}{2k} \\ &\geq \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2k} s}{\mathbb{E}[\sigma_i^2]^{1/2}} \right) \frac{\sigma^2}{2k} . \end{aligned}$$

Lemma follows by standard bounds on  $\text{erfc}(\cdot)$ .  $\square$

---