

Claim: Suffices to give a deg- k SOS proof that $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2 \in \text{RHS}$

Pf: Note $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^o (y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle)^2 \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2$ because $x_i = x_i^o$ and $y_i = y_i^o$ when $a_i^o = 1$. Claim then follows by following fact about pseudodistributions.

Fact (Pseudo Cauchy-Schwarz): For any polynomials p, q of deg $\leq k/2$ in \vec{x} and any deg- k pseudodistribution $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ over x ,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p(x)q(x)] \leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p(x)^2]^{1/2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[q(x)^2]^{1/2}$$

Pf: Suppose $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p(x)^2], \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[q(x)^2] > 0$. By linearity, can assume wlog that $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p(x)^2] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[q(x)^2] = 1$. Note $p \cdot q \leq \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2)$ in deg- k SOS, so $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[pq] \leq 1$ as desired.

Suppose next that $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[p(x)^2] = 0$. Then $\forall \alpha > 0$
 $2\alpha p \cdot q \leq p^2 + \alpha^2 q^2$ in deg- k SOS, so $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[pq] \leq \alpha^2 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[q^2]$.
 Send $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ to conclude. \square

Let's try to decompose the polynomial

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2 \quad (*)$$

Note:

$$1 = \underbrace{a_i a_i^o}_{\substack{\text{points we} \\ \text{correctly identified} \\ \text{as clean}}} + \underbrace{a_i (1 - a_i^o)}_{\substack{\text{points we} \\ \text{incorrectly identified} \\ \text{as clean}}} + \underbrace{(1 - a_i)}_{\substack{\text{points we} \\ \text{identified as} \\ \text{corrupted}}}$$

So

$$(*) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i a_i a_i^o (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_i a_i (1 - a_i^o) (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2 \quad (2)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (1 - a_i) (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2 \quad (3)$$

(1): When $a_i^o = 1$, $y_i = y_i^o$ and $x_i = x_i^o$

$$(1) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i a_i a_i^o (y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle)^2$$

$$\stackrel{(a_i^o \leq 1)}{\leq} \frac{1}{N} \sum_i a_i (y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle)^2 \quad \leftarrow \text{objective value}$$

(after taking pseudo expectation)

$$\leq \boxed{\text{OPT}}$$

(objective value achieved by w^o , i.e. clean MSE)

Note: $\{a_i^o\}, w^o$ is feasible solution to SoS program
 $\{x_i^o\}, \{y_i^o\}$

$$(2): \frac{1}{N} \sum_i a_i (1 - a_i^o) (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2$$

(Cauchy-Schwarz)

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (1 - a_i^o)^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i a_i^2 (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^4 \right)^{1/2}$$

$\leq 3^{1/2}$ ≤ 1

$$\leq \gamma^{1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^4 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\textcircled{3}: \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \underbrace{(1-a_i)}_{\text{red}} \underbrace{(y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2}_{\text{green}}$$

(Cauchy-Schwartz)

$$\leq \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (1-a_i)^2 \right)^{1/2}}_{\text{red}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^4 \right)^{1/2}$$

Note: $\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (1-a_i)^2 \stackrel{\text{(Booleanity)}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (1-a_i) \stackrel{\text{(\eta fraction corruptions)}}{=} \gamma$

$$\leq \gamma^{1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^4 \right)^{1/2}$$

How to bound $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^4$?

Recall $y_i^o = \langle w^o, x_i^o \rangle + \zeta_i$, $\zeta_i \leftarrow N(0, \sigma^2)$ so

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^4 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\langle w^o - w, x_i^o \rangle + \zeta_i)^4$$

Note elementary inequality $(a+b)^4 \leq 8(a^4+b^4)$,
 So the above

$$\leq \frac{8}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle w^o - w, x_i^o \rangle^4 + \frac{8}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^4$$

$$\leq 8 \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim N(0, \sigma^2)} [\xi^4]$$

$$= 24 \sigma^4 = O(\sigma^4)$$

To summarize:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^2$$

$$= \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + \textcircled{3}$$

$$= \text{OPT} + 2\gamma^{1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^o - \langle w, x_i^o \rangle)^4 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \text{OPT} + 2\gamma^{1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{8}{N} \sum_i \langle w^o - w, x_i^o \rangle^4 + O(\sigma^4) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \text{OPT} + O(\gamma^{1/2}) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle w^o - w, x_i^o \rangle^4 \right)^{1/2} + \sigma^2 \right]$$

• Technically not legit in SoS b/c of fractional power (1/2),
 but this step can be made rigorous by writing as
 $(\text{clean MSE} - \text{OPT})^2 \leq O(\gamma) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle w^o - w, x_i^o \rangle^4 + \sigma^4 \right]$

To bound $\frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle w^* - w, x_i^* \rangle^4$, need assumption on distribution:

Def: q is 4-hypercontractive if

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim q} [\langle v, x \rangle^4] \leq \left(C \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q} [\langle v, x \rangle^2] \right)^2 \quad (**)$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for some $C = O(1)$.

q is certifiably 4-hypercontractive if **(**)** has an SoS proof.

proof at the end { Example: Any rotation of a product distribution (e.g. $N(\mu, \Sigma)$) is certifiably 4-hypercontractive.

Lemma: Let $N \geq \Omega(d^4 \log^2(d/\delta))$. w.p. at least $1-\delta$, uniform distribution over N i.i.d. draws from a certifiably 4-hypercontractive dist. is certifiably 4-hypercontractive.

So for $v = w^* - w$, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle w^* - w, x_i^* \rangle^4 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle w^* - w, x_i^* \rangle^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{C}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(y_i^e - \langle w, x_i^e \rangle - \zeta_i \right)^2 \\
&\stackrel{(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2}{\leq} \frac{2C}{N} \sum_i \left(y_i^e - \langle w, x_i^e \rangle \right)^2 + \frac{2C}{N} \sum_i \zeta_i^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2C}{N} \sum_i \left(y_i^e - \langle w, x_i^e \rangle \right)^2 + O(C\sigma^2)
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{clean MSE} &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left(y_i^e - \langle w, x_i^e \rangle \right)^2 \\
&\leq \text{OPT} + O(\eta^{1/2}) \left[\frac{2C}{N} \sum_i \left(y_i^e - \langle w, x_i^e \rangle \right)^2 + O(C\sigma^2) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(1 - O(C\eta^{1/2}) \right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left(y_i^e - \langle w, x_i^e \rangle \right)^2 \\
&\leq \text{OPT} + O(C\eta^{1/2}\sigma^2),
\end{aligned}$$

So if $C\eta^{1/2}$ sufficiently small,

$$\text{clean MSE} \leq (1 + O(C\eta^{1/2})) (\text{OPT} + O(C\eta^{1/2}\sigma^2))$$

Proof IOU:

Claim: Any product distribution $q = q_1 \otimes \dots \otimes q_d$

s.t. $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_i} [z] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_i} [z^2] = 1$, and

$\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_i} [z^4] \leq C^2$ $\forall i$ is certifiably 4-hypercontractive.

$$\text{Pf: } \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q} \langle v, x \rangle^4 = \sum_i v_i^4 \mathbb{E}(x_i^4) + 6 \sum_{i < j} v_i^2 v_j^2 \mathbb{E}(x_i^2 x_j^2)$$

$$\leq \sum_i C^2 v_i^4 + 6 \sum_{i < j} v_i^2 v_j^2$$

The only SOS step \rightarrow

$$= \max(3, C^2) \left(\sum v_i^2 \right)^2 - \sum_i |C^2 - 3| \cdot v_i^4$$
$$\leq \max(3, C^2) \cdot \left(\sum v_i^2 \right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim q} \langle v, x \rangle^2 = \sum_i v_i^2 \mathbb{E}(x_i^2) = \sum v_i^2 \quad \square$$

(note that definition of certifiable hypercontractivity is invariant under linear transformations of q)