

10/1/23

## Lecture 8: SOS for tensor decomposition (II)

Recall setup:

$$\tilde{T} = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i \otimes u_i^3 + E$$

for  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  orthonormal, and  $\|E\|_{SOS_6} \ll 1$ .

Algorithm:

$$\max_E \hat{E} \langle T, x \otimes u_i^3 \rangle$$

over deg-6 pseudo-exp's over  $x$  s.t.

1).  $\hat{E}$  satisfies constraint  $\{\|x\|^2=1\}$

entropy maximization

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2). \quad \|\hat{E}(xx^\top)\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ 3). \quad \|\hat{E}((x \otimes x)(x \otimes x)^\top)\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ 4). \quad \|\hat{E}((x \otimes x \otimes x)(x \otimes x \otimes x)^\top)\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{array} \right. \quad \hat{E}[x \otimes u_i^3]$$

Then run Jennrich's many times on  $\tilde{T} = \hat{E} \cancel{[x \otimes u_i^3]}$ ,

i.e. take top eigenvector of  $\tilde{T}(g, :, :)$  for  
many random  $g$ 's.

End of last lecture:

Lemma: For optimal  $\hat{E}$ , for 1-o(1) fraction of i's,

$$\hat{E} \langle u_i, x \rangle^4 \geq \frac{1}{d} (1 - o(1))$$

## Theorem (main rounding analysis) :

If  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle a, x \rangle^4] \geq \frac{1}{d}(1 - o(1)),$$

then w.p.  $\geq \frac{1}{\text{poly}(d)}$  over  $g \sim N(0, \text{Id}_{d^2})$

the top eigenvector  $v$  of  $\mathbb{E}[\langle x \otimes x, g \rangle xx^\top]$

satisfies  $\langle v, a \rangle^2 \geq 0.99$ .

Pf : Write  $g = \gamma a \otimes a + \gamma^\perp$  for

$\gamma \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $\gamma^\perp \sim N(0, \text{Id}_{d^2} - (a \otimes a)(a \otimes a)^\top)$

Denote  $M_g \triangleq \mathbb{E}[\langle x \otimes x, g \rangle xx^\top]$  so that

$$M_g = \gamma M_{a \otimes a} + M_{\gamma^\perp}$$

(1)

will show  
 $= \frac{1}{d} a a^\top + o(\frac{1}{d})$

(2)

will show  $\leq \frac{\sqrt{\lg d}}{d}$

So if  $\gamma \geq 100\sqrt{\lg d}$  (happens w.p.  $\geq \frac{1}{\text{poly}(d)}$ ),

$$\text{then } M_g \approx \frac{\gamma}{\delta} (\alpha\alpha^\top + 0.01),$$

so top eigenvector has large constant correlation with  $\alpha$  as desired.

① To analyze  $M_{a \otimes a} = \mathbb{E}[(\langle x, a \rangle^2 x x^\top)],$

consider  $b \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  orthogonal to  $a$ . Then:

$$b^\top M_{a \otimes a} b$$

$$= b^\top \left\{ \mathbb{E}[(\langle x, a \rangle^2 x x^\top)] \right\} b = \mathbb{E}[\langle x, a \rangle^2 \langle x, b \rangle^2]$$

note,  $\langle x, b \rangle^2 + \langle x, a \rangle^2 \leq \|x\|^2 = 1$ , so

$$\leq \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\langle x, a \rangle^2]}_{= a^\top \mathbb{E}[x \otimes x] a} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\langle x, a \rangle^4]}_{\geq \frac{1}{\delta}(1 - o(1))}$$

$$\leq \|\mathbb{E}[x \otimes x]\|_{op}$$

$$\leq 1/\delta$$

$$\leq o(1/\delta).$$

We will come back to this when we discuss the overcomplete setting..

Also,

$$a^T (M_{a \otimes a}) a = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \langle x, a \rangle^4 \right] \geq \frac{1 - o(1)}{\delta}.$$

And because  $M_{a \otimes a}$  is PSD,

$$\begin{aligned} |a^T M_{a \otimes a} b|^2 &\leq \underbrace{\left( a^T (M_{a \otimes a}) a \right)}_{\leq \frac{1}{\delta}} \underbrace{\left( b^T (M_{a \otimes a}) b \right)}_{\leq o(\frac{1}{\delta})} \\ &\leq o(1/\delta), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\left\| \frac{1}{\delta} aa^T - M_{a \otimes a} \right\|_{op} \leq o\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ .  $\square$

---

(2): To bound  $M_{\gamma^\perp} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \langle \gamma^\perp, x \otimes x \rangle xx^T \right]$ ,

write  $\gamma^\perp = \frac{1}{2}(g_1 + g_2)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \gamma^\perp + \gamma' \\ g_2 &= \gamma^\perp - \gamma' \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } \gamma' \sim N(0, (a \otimes a)(a \otimes a)^T)$$

Note,  $g_1, g_2$  are marginally dist'd as  $N(0, \text{Id})$ .

So suffices to bound

$$M_h = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(\langle h, x \otimes x \rangle xx^T)$$

for  $h \sim N(0, \text{Id})$ .

$$M_h = \sum_{i,j=1}^d h_{ij} \cdot A_{ij} \quad \text{for } A_{ij} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x_i x_j \cdot xx^T]$$

↑  
independent Gaussians

By Concentration of Matrix Gaussian Series

(see. e.g. Theorem 4.1.1 in Tropp "Intro to matrix concentration inequalities") ,

$$\|M_h\|_{\text{op}} \leq \left\| \sum_{ij} A_{ij}^2 \right\|_{\text{op}}^{1/2} \cdot O(\sqrt{\lg d}) \quad (\star\star)$$

with high probability.

$$\text{If } B = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x (x^{\otimes 3})^T] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d^3},$$

$$S = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[x^{\otimes 4}],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2 \right)_{ab} &= \sum_{c,i,j} S_{ijac} S_{ijcb} \\
 &\stackrel{\text{(symmetric)}}{=} \sum_{c,i,j} S_{ajic} S_{ijcb} \\
 &= \beta_a : \beta_b^T,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2 = \beta \beta^T$$

$$\text{and } \left\| \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2 \right\|_{op} = \|\beta\|_{op}$$

not for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{d^3}$  of unit norm,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (z^T \beta z')^2 &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} [(\langle x, z \rangle \langle x^{\otimes 3}, z' \rangle)]^2 \\
 &\stackrel{\substack{\text{pseudo-exp.} \\ (\text{Gauß}) \\ (\text{Schwartz})}}{\leq} \hat{\mathbb{E}} [\langle x, z \rangle^2] \cdot \hat{\mathbb{E}} [\langle x^{\otimes 3}, z' \rangle^2] \\
 &= (z^T \hat{\mathbb{E}} [xx^T] z) \cdot (z' \hat{\mathbb{E}} [x^{\otimes 3} (x^{\otimes 3})^T] z') \\
 &\stackrel{\text{entropy bounds}}{\leq} \frac{1}{d^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

so  $\|\beta\|_{op} \leq 1/d$ , and (\*) yields

$$\|\mathcal{M}_n\| \leq 1/d \cdot \sqrt{\lg d}.$$

□

This idea can also be used for  
Overcomplete tensor decomposition!

$$(k \ll d^{3/2}) \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i^{\otimes 3} \quad \text{for } u_1, \dots, u_k \sim S^{d-1} \text{ uniformly}$$

Main conditions we needed to recover component  
 $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  were that

(1).  $\hat{E}[\langle a, x \rangle^4] \geq \frac{1}{d} (1 - o(1))$

(2).  $\hat{E}$  satisfies entropy maximization constraints,

e.g.  $\|\hat{E}[xx^T]\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{d}$

It was important that (1), (2) were both  $\frac{1}{d}$

Recall we needed to bound  $b^T M_{a \otimes a} b$   
 for  $b$  orthogonal to  $a$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 b^T M_{a \otimes a} b &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, a \rangle^2 \langle x_i, b \rangle^2 \right] \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, a \rangle^2 (1 - \langle x_i, a \rangle)^2 \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, a \rangle^2 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle x_i, a \rangle^4 \right] \\
 &\leq \| \mathbb{E} (x x^T) \|_{\text{op}} - \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle x, a \rangle^4 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Issue: In overcomplete setting, even if  $\mathbb{E}$  is uniform over  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ , and  $a = u_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \langle a, x \rangle^4 \right] &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \underbrace{\langle u_i, u_j \rangle}_{}^4 \\
 &= \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 + \sum_{j:j \neq i} \underbrace{\langle u_i, u_j \rangle}_{}^4 \right) \\
 &\approx \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)^4 = \frac{1}{k^4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\approx \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \right) \\
 &= \boxed{\frac{1}{k}} (1 + o(1))
 \end{aligned}$$

yet

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[xx^T] = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k u_i u_i^T \approx \frac{1}{d} \text{Id}_d,$$

so  $\|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[xx^T]\|_{\text{op}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{d}} \gg \frac{1}{k}$

Intuitively, issue is that there are too few dimensions, so  $u_i$ 's have tiny but non-negligible correlations, and so  $\|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[xx^T]\|_{\text{op}}$  can't be small enough for previous argument to go through.

Idea: Lift to higher dimensions!

Suppose instead of  $T = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \otimes u_i^T$ ,

we had the tensor

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \otimes u_i^T \otimes u_i^T = \sum_{i=1}^k (u_i \otimes u_i^T)^{\otimes 3} \triangleq W_i$$

$\{W_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$  are  $k \ll d^{3/2}$  "random" vectors

in  $d^2$  dimensions of norm

Claim: If  $k \ll d^2$ , then

$$\left\| \frac{1}{k} \sum_i w_i w_i^\top \right\|_{op} = \frac{1}{k} (1 + o(1)).$$

Pf Sketch : (next page)

Fine  
print: \* Not quite right as  $z^\top \left( \sum_j w_j w_j^\top z \right)$  for  
(can  
skip  
on  
first  
reading)  $z \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_i e_i \otimes e_i$  is  $\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j} \underbrace{\langle w_j, e_i \rangle^2}_{\approx \frac{1}{d}} \underbrace{\langle w_j, e_i \rangle^2}_{= \frac{1}{d}} \approx \frac{1}{d} \cdot d^3 \cdot \frac{1}{d^2} = 1$ ,

so there is a "bad" direction along which  $\sum w_i w_i^\top$  and  $I_d$  differ significantly. But can show that apart from this direction, i.e. if we instead take  $w_i = P(u_i \otimes u_i)$  for an appropriate projection away from  $z$ , the claim holds.

Use the following general fact:

Lemma (Theorem 5.62 in Vershynin):

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times k}$  have random norm- $\sqrt{D}$  columns that are independent with mean zero and identity covariance.

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{D} A^T A - I_k \right\|_{op} \leq \sqrt{\frac{m \lg k}{D}}$$

$$\text{where } m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{D} \max_i \sum_{j \neq i} \langle A_{i,:}, A_{j,:} \rangle^2.$$

i.e. if columns have typical inner product  $\approx \rho$ ,

$$\text{then } m \approx \frac{\rho k}{D}$$

$$\text{Take } D = d^2, A_{i,:} = \sqrt{D} \cdot w_i \text{ so } \frac{\rho}{D}$$

$$\frac{1}{D} A^T A = \sum w_i w_i^T. \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{1}{D}$$

$$\text{Then } \rho^2 = D^2 \langle w_i, w_j \rangle^2 = D^2 \cdot \underbrace{\langle u_i, u_j \rangle^2}_{= O(1)} = O(D)$$

\* These do not have mean zero and identity covariance, but after applying TT from previous page, they will, see [Hopkins-Schramm-Shi-Steuwer '15, Section C.0.4].

So  $m$  above is  $O(k)$ , so

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_i A^T A - I_{d+k} \right\|_{op} \leq \tilde{O} \left( \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\delta} \right) \ll 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_i A^T A \right\|_{op} = 1 + o(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left\| \underbrace{\frac{1}{\delta} \sum_i A A^T}_{\sum_i w_i w_i^T} \right\|_{op} = 1 + o(1)$$

$$\sum_i w_i w_i^T$$

□

---

So we've recovered ② above. Remains to check that lifting  $u_i \rightarrow u_i^{\otimes 2}$  doesn't break ①. But let's first specify algorithm:

Alg:

Let  $L(u) \triangleq \prod(u \otimes u)$  "Lifting map"

Solve

$$\max_{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\langle T, x^{\otimes 3} \rangle]$$

over degree- $\boxed{12}$  pseudoeexpectations s.t.

1).  $\hat{E}$  satisfies  $\|x\|^2 = 1$

2).  $\|\hat{E} [L(x)L(x)^T]\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{k}(1+o(1))$

3)  $\|\hat{E} [(L(x) \otimes L(x)) (L(x) \otimes L(x))^T]\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{k}(1+o(1))$

4)  $\|\hat{E} [(L(x)^{\otimes 3}) (L(x)^{\otimes 3})^T]\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{k}(1+o(1))$

Run Jennrich's many times on  $\hat{T} \triangleq \hat{E}[L(x)^{\otimes 4}]$ .

Sos "hallucination" of  
 $T^* = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i^{\otimes 8}$

i.e. Compute top eigenvector of

$$\hat{T}(j, :, :) \underset{\mathbb{R}^{d^4}}{\triangleq} \hat{E} [ \langle L(x) \otimes L(x), g \rangle L(x) L(x)^T ]$$

for various  $g$  to get vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{d^2}$ .

Guaranteed that these contain vectors of the form  $L(u_i)$  for  $1-o(1)$  fraction of  $i$ 's.

Can extract  $u_i$  from  $L(u_i)$  (we won't prove this)

Main Topo:

Claim: For optimal  $\hat{E}$ , w.h.p over  $w_i$ 's,

$$\hat{E}\left[\sum_i \langle w_i, x^{\otimes 2} \rangle^4\right] \geq 1 - o(1), \quad (\dagger)$$

so by averaging argument from last time, for  
 $1 - o(1)$  fraction of  $i$ 's,

$$\hat{E}\langle w_i, x^{\otimes 2} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{k}(1 - o(1)).$$

Pf sketch:

$$\text{LHS of } (\dagger) = \hat{E}\left[\sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^8\right]$$

We will prove "baby version" of this for intuition:

Baby claim:  $\hat{E}\left[\sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^4\right] = 1 - o(1).$

Pf: will give low-degree SoS proof

$$\text{Starting from } \sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^3 \geq 1 - o(1).$$

$$\left( \sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^3 \right)^2 = \left\langle \sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^2 u_i, x \right\rangle$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^2 u_i \right\|^2 \cdot \|x\|^2$$

Note  $\left\| \sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^2 u_i \right\|^2$

$$= \sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^4 \underbrace{\|u_i\|^2}_{=1} + \sum_{i \neq j} \langle u_i, x \rangle^2 \langle u_j, x \rangle^2 \langle u_i, u_j \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^4 + \boxed{\sum_{i \neq j} \langle u_i, x \rangle^2 \langle u_j, x \rangle^2 \langle u_i, u_j \rangle}$$

junk

so  $\sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^4 \geq \sum_i \langle u_i, x \rangle^3 - \text{junk}$

To bound "junk", suffices to bound

$$\left\| \sum_{i \neq j} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle (u_i \otimes u_j)(u_i \otimes u_j)^T \right\|_{\text{op.}} = o(1)$$

A lossy bound: Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2 \times k}$  have

columns consisting of  $u_i \otimes u_j$ 's. Then

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle (u_i \otimes u_j) (u_i \otimes u_j)^T = M \text{diag}(\{\langle u_i, u_j \rangle\}_{i \neq j}) M^T,$$

$$\text{which has } \| \cdot \|_{\text{op}} \leq \|M\|_{\text{op}}^2 \cdot \max_{i \neq j} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \underbrace{\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}}_{\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}}$$

Note:  $M$  is a submatrix of  $U \otimes U$ , where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  has columns consisting of  $u_i$ 's. Then

$$\|M\|_{\text{op}}^2 = \|U \otimes U\|_{\text{op}}^2 = \|U\|_{\text{op}}^4 \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{d}}\right)^4 = \frac{k^2}{d^2}$$

$$\text{So } \|M \text{diag}(\dots) M^T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \frac{k^2}{d^2} = \frac{k^2}{d^{5/2}}, \text{ so}$$

$$\text{just } = o(1) \text{ if } \boxed{k \ll d^{5/4}}$$

Pset 2, question #3 (ungraded)

gives a proof of the "right" bound  
getting  $\boxed{k \ll d^{5/2}}$ .